



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_6933
Title of the Manuscript:	The New Open System Model for Technology-Driven Organizations
Type of the Article	Others

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The theme of the article is really interesting. I agree with most of the comments of the author/s and I also believe there will be a new paradigm. But it is not a scientific article. Most of the assertions of the author are best wishes, but they are not supported by any literature. For instance, at the beginning of "The proposed paradigm" the author says: "...At least the numbers of internal workers within the organization will diminish". I agree with this future perspective but in a scientific article some references or some results should be included. Is there any study that supports this affirmation? The same with "...The new model will require fewer individuals to operate because of the resources available to all on line and using a new technology" (Any experience to support this asseveration?) The experience of <i>InnoCentive</i> is a good one, but it contradicts another affirmation in the text: "... The organization may never pay some of the key contributors for contributions". This is the case, for instance, of econometrics' programme STATA. Finally, the author asserts: "Payroll as a percent of total cost is less than 50% of the original paradigm..." Where, can the author specify any firm where this happen? In summary, this is a newspaper article, but not a scientific one. I suggest the author to support his affirmations with data or with literature.	Additional examples were added to support the paper (see highlighted changes). Bechtel and injection molding prototype shown as examples. Fewer individuals and fee-based or non-fee examples cited it re-write. The Serma database for Physicians is an example of a free resource for physicians to interact, pose questions, and have access to medical information. Four additional relevant sources from the literature were added.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		